

## **APPENDIX A**

**List of Policies in the Berkshire Structure Plan,  
the RMLP and BWLP,  
and intentions for them under the current JMWLDS**

**List of Policies in the Berkshire Structure Plan, the RMLP and BWLP, and intentions for them under the current JMWLDS**

**Berkshire Structure Plan 2001 – 2016 adopted 15<sup>th</sup> July 2005**

Although the Structure Plan is saved until 15<sup>th</sup> July 2008, it is considered that the following policies should not be maintained.

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
M1	Future Aggregates Supply	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy M3 of RPG9</b> which states that 'Mineral planning authorities should plan to maintain a landbank of at least seven years of planning permissions for land-won sand and gravel which is sufficient, throughout the mineral plan period, to deliver 13.25 million tonnes (mt) of sand and gravel per annum across the region, based on the following sub-regional apportionment: Berkshire Unitaries 1.57mt'	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
M3	Aggregates from Other Sources	Reject		a) Superseded by <b>Policy M3 of RPG9</b> which states that 'The supply of construction aggregates in the South East should be met from a significant increase in supplies of secondary and recycled materials, a reduced contribution from primary land-won resources and an increase in imports of marine-dredged aggregates.' b) Superseded by <b>Policy M5 of RPG9: Safeguarding of Wharves, Rail Depots and Mineral Reserves</b> 'Mineral planning authorities should assess the need for wharf and rail facilities for the handling and distribution of imported minerals and processed materials, and identify strategic sites for safeguarding in their mineral development frameworks. These strategic facilities should be safeguarded from other inappropriate development in local development documents.'	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
M4	Safeguarding Minerals Resources	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy M5 of RPG9</b> which states that 'Existing Mineral Sites and 'areas of search' should be identified and these should then be safeguarded	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
M5	Environmental Impacts and Restoration	Reject		Repeats <b>RMLP Policy MLP18,19 and 20</b>	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
M2	Areas for Future Working	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	This policy refers to the 'Preferred Areas' set out in the existing Minerals Local Plan. The extraction of sharp sand and gravel will normally be allowed in these areas.  Until the adoption of the Detailed Minerals Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas and the Berkshire Minerals and Waste Proposals Map existing Preferred Areas set out in the existing Minerals Local Plan will have to be used.	Detailed Minerals Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
W1	Providing for the Management and Treatment of Waste	Reject		<p>i) and ii) Superseded by <b>PPS10 Para 3</b> to 'help deliver sustainable development through driving waste management up the waste hierarchy, addressing waste as a resource and looking to disposal as the last option, but one which must be adequately catered for'</p> <p>iii) Superseded by <b>PPS 10 Para 3</b> all planning authorities should help implement the national waste strategy, and supporting targets.'</p> <p>iv) Superseded by <b>Policy W3 RPG9</b>– Regional Self-sufficiency. Waste authorities and waste management companies should provide management capacity equivalent to the amount of waste arising and requiring management within the region's boundaries, plus a declining amount of waste from London. Provision of capacity for rapidly increasing recycling, composting and other recovery should be made reflecting the targets and requirements set out in the Strategy.</p> <p>v) Superseded by <b>PPS10 Para 29 and Annex E</b> 'In considering planning applications for waste management facilities waste planning authorities should consider the likely impact on the local environment and on amenity.'</p>	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
W2	Locations for New Facilities	Reject		Superseded by <b>PPS10 Annexe A</b>	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
W3	Environmental Impacts and Restoration	Reject		Repeats <b>WLP Policy 27, 30, 31, 32 and 33</b>	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
W4	Providing for Waste in New Development	Reject		<p>Superseded by <b>PPS10 Paras 35 and 36</b></p> <p><b>35:</b> 'Good design and layout in new development can help to secure opportunities for sustainable waste management, including for kerbside collection and community recycling as well as for larger waste facilities. Planning authorities should ensure that new development makes sufficient provision for waste management and promote designs and layouts that secure the integration of waste management facilities without adverse impact on the street scene or, in less developed areas, the local landscape.</p> <p><b>36:</b> Waste management facilities in themselves should be well-designed, so that they contribute positively to the character and quality of the area in which they are located. Poor design is in itself undesirable, undermines community acceptance of waste facilities and should be rejected.'</p>	<p>Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009</p>

## Replacement Minerals Local Plan for Berkshire Incorporating the Alterations adopted in December 1997 and May 2001

Following the Core Strategy Reg 25 Consultation (January 2005) some of the policies originally identified for not saving have been reintroduced as it is felt that they do add a local dimension to National Policy.

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
MLP 3	Level of sand and gravel production	Reject		<p>Superseded by <b>Policy M3 RPG9</b> : Primary Aggregates. The supply of construction aggregates in the South East should be met from a significant increase in supplies of secondary and recycled materials, a reduced contribution from primary land-won resources and an increase in imports of marine-dredged aggregates.</p> <p>Mineral planning authorities should plan to maintain a landbank of at least seven years of planning permissions for land-won sand and gravel which is sufficient, throughout the mineral plan period, to deliver 13.25 million tonnes (mt) of sand and gravel per annum across the region, based on the following sub-regional apportionment: Berkshire Unitaries 1.57mtpa</p>	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP 4	Landbanks	Reject		As comments for Policy MLP3 above	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
MLP 5	Meeting the balance of demand	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy M2 RPG9: Recycled and Secondary Aggregates</b> The use of secondary aggregates and recycled materials in the South East should increase from 6.6mtpa (29% of the guidelines for primary aggregate production in the region) to at least 7.7mtpa (34%) by 2016 so as to reduce the need for primary aggregates extraction.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP 9	Deleted under 2001 Alterations	Reject			
MLP22	Environmental Impact Assessment	Reject		This policy has already been rejected.	
MLP23	Archaeological Evaluation	Reject		Repeats PPG16	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP24	Other site investigations	Reject		Repeats PPS9 05, PPG15 01/05, PPG16 90, PPS23 04, PPG24 94, PPG25 01	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
MLP25	Importing aggregates by rail	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy M5 RPG9</b> : Safeguarding of Wharves, Rail Depots and Mineral Reserves Mineral planning authorities should assess the need for wharf and rail facilities for the handling and distribution of imported minerals and processed materials, and identify strategic sites for safeguarding in their mineral development frameworks. These strategic facilities should be safeguarded from other inappropriate development in local development documents.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP27	EIA for rail aggregates depot	Reject		Covered by other legislation	
MLP 1	Husbanding resources	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP 2A	Extraction to prevent sterilisation	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP 6	General considerations for sand and gravel extraction	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
MLP 2	Prevention of sterilisation	Keep		Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP 7	Material considerations for sand and gravel extraction	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP 8	Preferred Areas	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Until the adoption of the <b>Detailed Minerals Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas and the Berkshire Minerals and Waste Proposals Map</b> existing Preferred Areas will have to be used.	Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP10	Outside Preferred areas applications will normally be refused	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP11	Strongest presumption against extraction	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
MLP12	Strong presumption against extraction	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP13	Strong presumption against extraction all other areas	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP14	Borrow pits	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP15	Building sand	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
MLP16	Chalk, clay and other minerals	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP17	Oil and gas	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
MLP18	Appropriate and timely restoration	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP19	Securing Public Benefit through restoration	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP20	Proposals for restoration	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
MLP21	Content of minerals applications	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP26	Safeguarding rail depots	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP28	Ancillary structures	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
MLP29	Importing aggregates to plant sites	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	No appropriate replacement	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Mineral Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

## Waste Local Plan for Berkshire December 1998

Following the Core Strategy Reg 25 Consultation (January 2005) some of the policies originally identified for not saving have been reintroduced as it is felt that they do add a local dimension to National Policy. Those confirmed for rejection are listed first.

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
WLP2	Waste Management Hierarchy	Reject		<p>Superseded by <b>PPS10 Para 3</b> to 'help deliver sustainable development through driving waste management up the waste hierarchy, addressing waste as a resource and looking to disposal as the last option, but one which must be adequately catered for.</p> <p>Also <b>Policy W1 RPG9</b> : Waste Reduction The Regional Assembly, SEEDA, the Environment Agency and other regional partners will work together to reduce growth of all waste to 1% per annum by 2010 and 0.5% per annum by 2020 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• encouraging waste reduction in all regional and local strategies;</li> <li>• identifying and disseminating examples of good practice and encouraging local authorities and businesses to implement waste minimization programmes;</li> <li>• establishing a regional working group to identify opportunities and priorities for waste reduction in relation to supply chains, product design, manufacture, labelling, retailing, procurement, consumption and resource recovery; and</li> <li>• developing enhanced regional information and awareness programmes to alter individual and corporate behaviour.</li> </ul>	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
WLP4	Meeting Berkshire's Need	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy W7 RPG9</b> : Waste Management Capacity Requirements Waste planning authorities should provide for an appropriate mix of development opportunities to support the waste management facilities required to achieve the targets set out in this Strategy. The annual average rates of waste to be managed set out in Table 3 provide benchmarks for the preparation of Development Plan Documents and annual monitoring.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP5	Meeting Regional Need	Reject		Superseded by <b>Policy W7 RPG9</b> : Waste Management Capacity Requirements Waste planning authorities should provide for an appropriate mix of development opportunities to support the waste management facilities required to achieve the targets set out in this Strategy. The annual average rates of waste to be managed set out in Table 3 provide benchmarks for the preparation of Development Plan Documents and annual monitoring.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009

POLICY	TITLE	KEEP/ REJECT	PPS 12 CRITERIA	REASON/ LOGIC	DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE
WLP6	Waste as a consideration in new development	Reject		<p>Superseded by <b>Policy W2 RPG9:</b> Sustainable Design, Construction and Demolition Development Plan Documents should ensure development design, construction and demolition which minimises waste production and associated impacts through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the re-use of construction and demolition materials, and</li> <li>• the promotion of layouts and designs that provide adequate space to</li> <li>• facilitate storage, re-use, recycling and composting.</li> </ul> <p>In particular development in the Region's strategic growth areas should demonstrate and employ best practice in design and construction for waste minimisation and recycling.</p>	<p>Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009</p>
WLP7	Waste Minimisation	Reject			
WLP8	Waste Re-use	Reject			
WLP9	Recycling facilities in new development	Reject			
WLP10	Appropriate provision for the recycling of waste	Reject			
WLP12	Need for waste recycling, sorting and transfer	Reject		<p>Superseded by <b>Policy W7 RPG9</b> : Waste Management Capacity Requirements Waste planning authorities should provide for an appropriate mix of development opportunities to support the waste management facilities required to achieve the targets set out in this Strategy. The annual average rates of waste to be managed set out in Table 3 provide benchmarks for the preparation of Development Plan Documents and annual monitoring.</p>	<p>Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009</p>

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
WLP22	Energy recovery from waste	Reject		Superseded by Policy W11 RPG9: Biomass Waste collection, planning and disposal authorities should encourage the separation of biomass waste, as defined in the Renewables Obligation, and consider its use as a fuel in biomass energy plants where this does not discourage recycling and composting.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP32	Requirement to provide an ES	Reject		This policy has already been rejected.	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP1	Sustainable Development	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP3	Phasing out putrescible / polluting waste	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP11	Proposed preferred areas	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Until the adoption of the Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas and the Berkshire Minerals and Waste Proposals Map existing Preferred Areas will have to be retained.	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP13	Local facilities	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
WLP14	Sites for engineered landfill	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP15	Temporary sites for engineered landfill	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP16	Waste management facilities – non landfill	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP17	Green waste composting	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP18	Sewage works	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP 19	Farm and stable waste	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
WLP20	Other landfill sites for putrescible / polluting waste	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP21	Safeguarding sites for waste management	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Existing policy includes detailed list of waste management sites to safeguard	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009 Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP23	Temporary local separation sorting and recycling sites	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP24	Temporary recycling facilities on inert waste landfill sites	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Joint Minerals and Waste Core Strategy July 2009
WLP25	Disposal of inert waste by landfilling	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
WLP26	Controls on landfill permissions to secure inert waste recycling	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP27	Is development needed	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP28	Non identified sites for waste management development	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP29	Non identified sites for waste management development outside preferred areas	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Local level of detail needed	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP30	Assessing the impact of development proposals	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010

<b>POLICY</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>KEEP/ REJECT</b>	<b>PPS 12 CRITERIA</b>	<b>REASON/ LOGIC</b>	<b>DPD WHICH WILL COVER POLICY AND ADOPTION DATE</b>
WLP31	Information to be provided with application	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP33	Environmental improvements and wider benefits	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010
WLP34	Land Raising	Keep	PPS 12 5.15 (vi) Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Has not been superseded in sufficient detail	Detailed Waste Development Control Policies and Preferred Areas September 2010